| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary   | Application No.                   | Applicant(s)          |             |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
|  | 10/595,399                        | SALAMA, ZOSER B.      |             |
|  | Examiner                          | Art Unit              |             |
|  | S. Tran                           | 1615                  |             |
| Il Participants: Status of Application:  |                                   |                       |             |
| (1) <u>S. Tran</u> .   | (3)                               |                       |             |
| (2) <u>Joyce v. Natzmer</u> .  | (4)                               |                       |             |
| Date of Interview: 9 February 2010   | Time:                             |                       |             |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:   |                                   |                       |             |
| Part I.  |                                   |                       |             |
| Rejection(s) discussed:  |                                   |                       |             |
|  |                                   |                       |             |
| Claims discussed: of record  |                                   |                       |             |
| Prior art documents discussed:  Khokhar, Kidani, and Matteucci et al.  |                                   |                       |             |
| Part II.   |                                   |                       |             |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:<br>See Continuation Sheet  |                                   |                       |             |
| Part III.  |                                   |                       |             |
| <ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul> |                                   |                       |             |
|  |                                   |                       |             |
|  |                                   |                       |             |
|  |                                   |                       |             |
|  |                                   |                       |             |
| (A <sub>I</sub>  | pplicant/Applicant's Representati | ive Signature – if ap | ppropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: to place application in condition for allowance, it was suggested to: 1) cancel claims that are directed to non-elected inventions; 2) amend the transitional phrase "comprising of" in all claims with respect to the tablet or capsule to recite "consisting of". Applicant's attorney authorized the Examiner's Amendment.